Brief Peer Reviewed Essay

Inquiry on the Role of International Education in Future Diplomacy after Covid-19 Pandemic

Osman Gultekin
Bahcesehir University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The international higher education sector has been witnessing an economic and soft power competition by the nation-states and has become a part of global power competition. It is worth inquiring about the role of international education in future diplomacy after the Covid-19 pandemic outbreak as there are likely to be substantial changes in the forms of deliveries, operations and policies in the international higher education activities and global politics. This brief essay highlights the possible effects of Covid-19 pandemic on the relationship of international higher education with diplomacy and international relations. It provides food for thoughts for the researches in the area.

Keywords: future diplomacy, international higher education, international relations, internationalization, political science, post-Covid19 period, public diplomacy, soft power

There has been an increasing trend in the number of international students travelling to other countries for getting a higher education. Besides, there have been major emerging non-Western actors assuming different patterns of international student mobility. In a South-South mobility scheme, the motivations of those non-Western national states differ from the traditional Western hosting countries remarkably. They actively involved in the creation of educational hub regions along with other public diplomacy

channels such as cultural institutes abroad, worldwide TV broadcasting and, hosting mega-events. China and Russia, and in some regards Turkey and Qatar, can be examples of the countries that are heavily investing in public diplomacy including international higher education and other soft power assets for becoming major actors in international politics. These heavy investment and new international mobility patterns in international higher education has an effect on the new global power structure. This may also merely be a reflection of the new reality of the world order as the world phases from a unipolar power structure to a multipolar one, as many International Relations theorists suggest.

The international higher education sector has been witnessing an economic and soft power competition by the nation-states and it was a part of global power competition before the Covid-19 pandemic. In this context, it is worth inquiring about the role of international education in future diplomacy after Covid-19 pandemic outbreak as there will be substantial changes in the forms of deliveries, operations and policies in the international higher education activities. The prevalent trends of digitalization of teaching and (re)discovery of online and distance education are accompanied by the considerations on reimagining the international education and virtual internationalization. Regarding the learning experience, where digital fatigue is very common across all stakeholders, in the student experience level, stress disorders and mood instability are typical psychological problems the students face.

Conceptual background

Traditionally, there have been three main scholarly perspectives to analyze the concept of international education: philosophical, pedagogical, and practical (Francois et al, 2016). In the last decades, the political science aspect has been added to the scholarly studies on international education as the indispensability of the very multi-faceted concept of 'education' in studies of political science domains such as democratization (Perry, 2015), economic growth (Barro and Sala-i Martin, 1995; OECD, 2001; Hanushek and Woessman, 2010), development, public diplomacy, foreign policymaking, international politics, and political power are very prevalent. Education indeed has a great 'constructivist' role in international development projects and humanitarian actions in the conflict and post-conflict zones (Davies, 2005; World Bank, 2005; Davies and Talbot, 2008; UNICEF 2011; Paulson and Shields, 2015; Gross and Davies, 2015). Education in emergencies, peace education (Tinker, 2016), and development education are important concepts in state-building or reconstruction periods.

Educational policy-making, specifically of international education, has always been a topic on the agenda of national governments for various motivations (Froumin and Lisyutkin, 2015; British Council, 2016). National educational policies (Apple, 1995) and international organizations or NGOs

in international development projects exert their values, norms, cultural influence, and hegemony (Monaghan, 2015; Portnoi, 2016) on the recipient societies. In the same manner, in regard to cross-border education, the countries would like to host more international students for they would like to create or 'construct' a nicer image of their country and culture on the minds of visitor students, who can later be potentially sympathizers of their country in a soft power framework, which would benefit the host countries in any global power competition. In power considerations, education, rather than being solely a pedagogical approach or discipline, has been utilized to exert influence on the foreign audience and never let be free from the realm of international relations and world order.

International education indeed can be considered as both reflection of and affecting agent on the world power structure. For many decades, cultural imperialism was relevant in a Western-dominated unipolar world (Carnoy, 1974). The North-South student movement was a reflection of the world order and international politics (Guruz 2011; Malete, 2016). Currently, the world is in a potential phase of going from unipolar to a multipolar system (Jules, 2016) and 'regionalization' resembles the new focal aspect in the new South-South student mobility reality (Kondakci, Bedenlier and Zawacki-Richter, 2018). Mercantilism over international education by national governments (Asteris, 2006; Trilokekar, 2010; Walker, 2014) turned into soft power competition (Stetar et al, 2010) among world powers and more interestingly, 'sharp powers' (Walker, 2016; Walker and Ludwig, 2017; Walker, 2018) manipulate it, just as they do in other instruments of public diplomacy such as worldwide media broadcasting, cultural diplomacy institutions, and nation branding activities. Sharp powers' involvement (Nye, 2018) in international education both in international student mobility and humanitarian assistance poses an interesting case to be explored as 'idealistic and normative' nature of education has been exploited and replaced with 'realist and power competition' notions by some countries. According to Nye, soft power is neither realist nor a liberal concept, but it is just a form of power. Although, looking at the situation as another realm of power struggle would make more sense and be realistic for further analysis, calling it in a more normative framework of 'knowledge diplomacy' (Knight, 2018) may also take place in future diplomacy.

Nevertheless, what is important is to focus on the international students' learning and cultural living experiences, and what happens in the classroom and on the campus for the sake of genuine internationalization (Knight, 2014). This point seems to be failed by some emerging educational hub countries. As they don't prioritize the satisfaction (Wiers-Jenssen et al, 2002) and experiences of international students (Williams, 2005; Appleton-Knapp and Krentler, 2006; Bartram, 2007; Kondakci, 2011; Root and Ngampornchai, 2012; Soria and Troisi, 2013; Wright and Schartner, 2013;

Ozoglu et al, 2015; Ding, 2016; Kawamura, 2016; Watanabe, 2016; Streitwieser and Light, 2018) and the quality of teaching, they instrumentalize international education in a global power struggle. They set ambitious target numbers to reach, form initiatives to create world-class universities to be included in world ranking tables to attract more students. However, lacking the intercultural competence and genuine internationalization aspects, this trend may potentially end up the exploitation of incoming international students financially and politically. Student-centered internationalization and cultural experience-focused international education activities, rather than quantity, will gain importance in the future diplomacy. It is very worth to investigate how this trend will be shaped in the post-pandemic globally.

Considerations after the covid-19 pandemic

After the Covid-19 pandemic outbreak, there assume to be substantial changes in the mobility patterns as there will be possibilities on new delivery methods such as online education as a form of transnational education. The new situation may alter the internationalization plans of higher education institutions to a great extent. The health and safety regulations will be of utmost importance which may indeed limit the volume of international educational exchanges and mobility in the future. The employment forms and operations may shift to digital mediums and the provision of labor from universities to industries can affect to a great extent. All those developments will serve an interesting situation for the interplay between international higher education and soft power and public diplomacy visions of the nation-states, as they traditionally see international education and physical movement of students from one country to another, as an essential element in their foreign policy and diplomacy. The role of international education in future diplomacy after the Covid-19 pandemic will deserve a great deal of research in both perspectives of Western and non-Western.

Particularly, the scholarship of international education- and also in international relations, will have to investigate the place of international education in future diplomacy by asking very essential questions below:

i) What are the implications of international education in international relations and future diplomacy, especially in the post-Covid19 period?

ii) What are and will be the determinants, modalities, trends, and issues in the global student mobility before and after the post-Covid19 period?

iii) How are the national government strategies for international higher education and internationalization being shaped in the world in the post-Covid19 period?

iv)   What will be the nexus between the international education and global power structure in the future?

v)   What insights can be drawn from the cases of traditional Western destinations and newly emerged non-Western destinations in regard to international education's influence in global power influence and future diplomacy in the post-Covid19 period?

Conclusion

In summary, the main questions to explore in the post-Covid19 period would be on i) how international educational policies and activities are going to be operated by the hosting states over the foreign visitor citizens ii) how the genuine aspects of internationalization in higher education such as intercultural learning and intercultural competence development will be taking into account by the national governments of emerging education hub countries and iii) how international education will be handled in international relations and global politics during the post-Covid19 period in the future.

References

Apple, M. (2012). *Education and Power,* New York: Routledge

Appleton-Knapp, S. L., & Krentler, K. A. (2006). Measuring Student Expectations and Their Effects on Satisfaction: The Importance of Managing Student Expectations, *Journal of Marketing Education*, *3*, 254-264

Asteris, M. (2006). British Universities: The "Coal Exporters" of the 21st Century, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 224-240

Barro, R. (2001). Human Capital and Growth, *American Economic Review*, 91(2), 12-17

Barro, R. J (2013). Education and Economic Growth, *Annals of Economics and Finance*, 2013, vol. 14, issue 2, 301-328

Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X. (2004). *Economic Growth*, Cambridge (Mass.): MIT Press

Bartram, B. (2007). The Sociocultural Needs of International Students in Higher Education: A Comparison of Staff and Student Views, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, Vol. 11 No. 2, 205-214

Bhandari, R., & Blumenthal, P. (2011). *International Students and Global Mobility in Higher Education: National Trends and New Directions*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan

British Council (2016). *The Shape of Global Higher Education: National Policies Framework for International Engagement: Emerging themes.* Retrieved on September 12th, 2019 from

https://www.britishcouncil.org/

Carnoy, M. (1974). *Education as Cultural Imperialism,* New York: Longman.

Cull, N. (2008). Public Diplomacy: Taxonomies and Histories. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 616*, 31-54

Davies L. (2005). The Edge of Chaos: Explorations in Education and Conflict. In: Zajda J. et al. (eds) *International Handbook on Globalisation, Education and Policy Research*. Springer, Dordrecht

Davies L., Talbot C. (2008). Learning in Conflict and Postconflict Contexts, *Comparative Education Review*, Vol. 52, No. 4

Ding, X. (2016). Exploring the Experiences of International Students in China, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, Vol. *20*(4) 319–338

Francois E.J., Avoseh M.B.M., Griswold W. (eds) (2016), *Perspectives in Transnational Higher Education.* Sense Publishers, Rotterdam

Froumin I. & Lisyutkin M. (2015) Excellence-Driven Policies and Initiatives in the Context of Bologna Process: Rationale, Design, Implementation and Outcomes. In: Curaj A., Matei L., Pricopie R., Salmi J., Scott P. (eds) *The European Higher Education Area*, Springer, Cham

Gross Z., Davies L. (eds), (2005), *The Contested Role of Education in Conflict and Fragility*. The World Council of Comparative Education Societies (Distinguished Contributors). SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Gürüz, K. (2011). *Higher Education and International Student Mobility in the Global Knowledge Economy*. State University of New York Press

Hagedorn, L. S. & Zhang, L. Y. (2011). The Use of Agents in Recruiting Chinese Undergraduates, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *15*(2), 186– 202

Hanushek, E. A., Wößmann L. (2010), Education and Economic Growth. In: Penelope Peterson, Eva Baker, Barry McGaw, (Editors*), International Encyclopedia of Education*. volume 2, pp. 245-252. Oxford: Elsevier

Inter-Agency Network on Education in Emergencies, (2010). *Minimum Standards for Education: Preparedness, Response and Recovery*, INEE, New York

Jules T.D. (2016). 'Gated Globalization', Regionalism and Regional Trading Agreements. In: Francois E.J., Avoseh M.B.M., Griswold W. (eds) *Perspectives in Transnational Higher Education*. SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Kawamura H. (2016) International Education as Intercultural Communication. In: Mock J., Kawamura H., Naganuma N. (eds)

The Impact of Internationalization on Japanese Higher Education. Global Perspectives on Higher Education, vol 22. SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Knight, J. (2015). Internationalization: Unintended Consequences? *International Higher Education*, (54)

Knight, J. (2017). Global: Moving From Soft Power to Knowledge Diplomacy. *Understanding Higher Education Internationalization,* 381-382.

Knight, J. (2019). *Knowledge Diplomacy in Action* (Rep.). British Council.

Knight, J., & Wit, H. D. (2018). Internationalization of Higher Education: Past and Future. *International Higher Education*, (95), 2-4

Kondakci, Y. (2011). Student Mobility Reviewed: Attraction and Satisfaction of International Students in Turkey. *Higher Education*, *62*(5), 573-592.

Kondakci, Y., Bedenlier, S., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2017). Social Network Analysis of International Student Mobility: Uncovering the Rise of Regional Hubs. *Higher Education*, *75*(3), 517-535.

Lee, S. W. (2017). Circulating East to East. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *21*(2), 170-190.

Malete L. (2016) Transnational Education and Internationalization of Education as Tools for Higher Education Transformation and Economic Development in Emerging Economies. In: Francois E.J., Avoseh M.B.M., Griswold W. (eds) *Perspectives in Transnational Higher Education*. SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Monaghan, C. (2015), Changing the prism: New Theoratical Approaches for Education in Emergencies. In: Gross, Z. & Davies, L. (Eds.), *The Contested Role of Education in Conflict and Fragility*, Sense Publishers.

Nye, J. S. (2018), How Sharp Power Threatens Soft Power | *Foreign Affairs*. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2018-01-24/how-sharp-power-threatens-soft-power

OECD. (2001). *The Well-being of Nations: The Role of Human and Social Capital*. OECD Publications Centre.

Ozoglu, M., Gur, B. S., & Coskun, I. (2015). Factors Influencing International Students' Choice to Study in Turkey and Challenges They Experience in Turkey. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, *10*(2), 223-237.

Paulson J. & Shields R. (2015). Conflict-Fragility-Education. In: Gross Z., Davies L. (eds) *The Contested Role of Education in Conflict and Fragility*. The World Council of Comparative Education Societies (Distinguished Contributors). SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Perry L.B. (2005). Education for Democracy: Some Basic Definitions, Concepts, and Clarifications. In: Zajda J. et al. (eds) *International Handbook on Globalisation, Education and Policy Research.* Springer, Dordrecht

Portnoi, L. M. (2016), *Policy Borrowing and Reform in Education: Globalized Processes and Local Contexts,* Palgrave MacMillan US

Root, E., & Ngampornchai, A. (2012). "I Came Back as a New Human Being.". *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *17*(5), 513-532

Soria, K. M., & Troisi, J. (2013). Internationalization at Home Alternatives to Study Abroad. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *18*(3), 261-280.

Stetar J., Coppla C., Guo L., Nabiyeva N., Ismailov B. (2010) Soft Power Strategies: Competition and Cooperation in a Globalized System of Higher Education. In: Portnoi L.M., Rust V.D., Bagley S.S. (eds) *Higher Education, Policy, and the Global Competition Phenomenon. International and Development Education*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York

Streitwieser, B. T. & Light, G. J., (2018). Student Conceptions of International Experience in the Study Abroad Context, *Higher Education*, *75*, 471–487

Tinker, V. (2016). Peace Education as a Post-conflict Peacebuilding Tool, *All Azimuth,* V5, N1, 27-42

Trilokekar, R. D. (2009). International Education as Soft Power? The Contributions and Challenges of Canadian Foreign Policy to the Internationalization of Higher Education. *Higher Education*, *59*(2), 131-147.

UNESCO, Global Flow of Tertiary-Level Students. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://uis.unesco.org/en/uis-student-flow, http://uis.unesco.org/en/uis-student-flow

UNICEF (2011). *The Role of Education in Peacebuilding: Literature Review*. New York: United Nations Children's Fund

Walker, C. (2016). The Hijacking of "Soft Power", *Journal of Democracy*, *27*(1), 49-63

Walker, C. (2018). What Is "Sharp Power"?, *Journal of Democracy*, *29*(3), 9-23.

Walker, C. & Ludwig J. (2017). *Introduction: From Soft Power to Sharp Power: Rising Authoritarian Influence in the Democratic World*, National Endowment for Democracy

Walker, P. (2013). International Student Policies in UK Higher Education from Colonialism to the Coalition. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *18*(4), 325-344.

Watanabe S. (2016) Living Apart Together. In: Mock J., Kawamura H. & Naganuma N. (eds) The Impact of Internationalization on

Japanese Higher Education. *Global Perspectives on Higher Education*, vol 22. SensePublishers, Rotterdam

Wiers-Jenssen, J., Stensaker, B., & Grøgaard, J. B. (2002). Student Satisfaction: Towards an Empirical Deconstruction of the Concept. *Quality in Higher Education*, *8*, 183-195

Williams, T. R., (2005), Exploring the Impact of Study Abroad on Students' Intercultural Communication Skills: Adaptability and Sensitivity, *Journal of Studies in International Education*, Vol. 9 No. 4, 356-37

World Bank (2005). *Reshaping the Future: Education and Post-Conflict Reconstruction*. Washington DC, World Bank, 2005.

Wright, C., & Schartner, A. (2013). 'I can't … I won't?' International students at the threshold of social interaction. *Journal of Research in International Education*, *12*(2), 113 – 128

Zajda J. et al. (eds) (2005). *International Handbook on Globalisation, Education and Policy Research*. Springer, Dordrecht

Author Bio

OSMAN GULTEKIN, PhD, is the President of Pertevniyal Alumni Association in Turkey and has recently completed his doctoral studies in Bahcesehir University, Turkey. His dissertation title is 'International Education and Soft Power: the case of Turkey'. His major research interests lie in the area of International Relations theories, soft power, educational diplomacy, international higher education, internationalization and international student mobility.

Email: osman.gultekin@gmail.com