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ABSTRACT

Science programs at Western higher education institutions are popular with mainland Chinese students. My teaching experience in Chinese classes suggests significant challenges for China-raised students in gaining deep appreciation and understanding of the principles of pure science, which differs from applied science in motivation and skills. The learning difficulties can be traced to students’ early education and cultural background. Science further communicates in a different style to other forms of English, adding complexity to writing and reading science texts. The information provided here could benefit future development of new course structures and teaching style in basic sciences, which is expected to generate a better appreciation of Western basic science philosophy by China-raised learners who in turn will gain a more rewarding learning experience.
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I have been fortunate to be invited to teach biology and scientific English intermittently in China over the years. Although science programs are popular with Chinese international students (National Science Board, 2018), biology is generally not a preferred academic degree among domestic students. Coming from a pure (also known as “basic”) science background under the Australian higher education system, my primary role was to provide inspiration for quality pure biology learning. Many of my students were enthused by the class exposure and expressed a genuine desire to acquire/continue their basic science education abroad as international students. However, my experience shows that China-raised
students would struggle in Western basic science programs. For example, curiosity and an inquiring mind are prerequisites to learning basic science, but I felt compelled to instigate these qualities while teaching biological material and to find ways to inject a deep appreciation and understanding of basic science principles. To my knowledge, learning of pure science by mainland Chinese students has not been addressed in Western higher education.

In reflecting on my experience with teaching and interacting with undergraduate and postgraduate Chinese students, it is important in the context of Western science education to first distinguish basic science from applied science. Basic science is search for understanding of natural phenomena without expectations of practical applications. Applied science uses the knowledge base provided by basic science research to develop technologies for human practical purposes. In this way, pure and applied scientists differ in their motivation and skills. The drive to generate new knowledge and ideas about the natural world in basic science leads to skills in investigative receptiveness that demands independent inquiry, well-planned experiments, and evidence-based arguments. Higher education in Australia typically emphasizes the importance of such basic science values even in applied science degrees that ultimately focus on practical skills in scientific procedures and techniques. This is because a viral vaccine, for example, cannot be developed without first understanding the structure and function of viral protein and the human immune system. China is believed to lack a basic science culture and its science development is traditionally driven by pragmatic actions (Wan et al., 2011). Its educational and financial support is tailored toward applied skills for practical and economic reasons. China has a rapidly growing reputation in the sciences, including life sciences, but is heavily biased toward applied fields such as materials science and biotechnology (O’Meara, 2019).

**LEARNING BACKGROUND**

My children experienced first-hand the education culture of China when they attended a primary school at Chongqing municipality in 2005. The school upheld a teacher-centered culture in which deference for the teacher accompanied by classroom silence was practiced. The teacher would unhesitatingly strike a student’s desk with a ruler if they felt the student’s attention waivered. Teaching and learning tended to focus on content-packed information delivery with much rote memorization and recitation of texts, a method that continues throughout the six years of secondary education because it suits examination-oriented assessment. It is a practice that still occurs in many schools in China today (e.g., Poole, 2016; Rublik, 2018). Although a selection of science subjects is taught at secondary school, science projects and extracurricular science activities are limited. A lack of hands-on activities, observation and demonstration in classrooms, coupled with a teacher-oriented approach that allays student development of questioning and reasoning, tends to undermine student inquisitiveness and originality and consequently basic science learning.
Behavior of my undergraduate biology students in China is suggestive of under-preparation for deep and meaningful learning of basic science at university. There is strong reliance on memorization of material. Students typically regurgitate the exact wordings of learnt definitions when asked to explain a biological concept. They are often confused when prompted to expand and apply to different situations. Chinese students are said to employ repetition learning as a means of gaining deep understanding over time (Kember, 2016), but the strategy could obscure the distinction between surface and deep learning. Repetition learning requires diligence and persistence which are admirable qualities of the Chinese people. Chinese students not only study for longer hours than their Australian counterparts; many believe effort is more reliable in achieving success than academic ability. In one advanced-level biology course (evolutionary biology) I delivered in China, many students claimed they often studied more for this subject than all other Chinese subjects combined. They articulated that English language incompetency was one factor, but the adjustment required in dealing with high-level critical and analytical thinking was especially challenging because the process cannot be learned through memorization. A common student opinion is that secondary school study is more arduous than that at university. Students were somewhat astonished at the higher learning expectations in my courses and that the learning methods that worked for them in secondary school were largely ineffective.

ACADEMIC CHALLENGES IN BASIC SCIENCES ABROAD

So how do/would Chinese international students fare at Western higher education institutions? Studies suggest they regularly match or outdo their Western counterparts in academic performance (Kember, 2016); however, the types of courses in which mainland Chinese students excelled in are primarily mathematics and nonpure sciences such as the arts, business, or technology (an applied science). Data specific to pure science performance (other than mathematics) are lacking in the literature, but in Australia where almost 40% of tertiary international students are from mainland China (Department of Education and Training, 2019), there is some suggestion that China-raised students underperform in biology-related courses compared to domestic students (e.g., Mann et al., 2010). Incompetence in the English language is often cited as one primary factor limiting academic success for international students (e.g., Ren & Hagedorn, 2012), but this element is less crucial in the natural sciences (Light et al., 1987). Some of the English-proficient students in my biology courses in China performed dismally, while those possessing less competent English performed considerably better. Undoubtedly cultural background and acculturation are central to pure science learning in Western institutions.

According to a study by Bone and Reid (2011), early exposure to deep learning in biology is more important than preuniversity learning. Since early education in China does not promote qualities such as creative thinking and problem solving (Dello-Iacovo, 2009; Ma & Rapee, 2015; Niu & Sternberg, 2003; Salili, 1996), a sudden shift to high-level learning in basic science (e.g., hypothesis
testing, scientific argument) can be problematic. English language-proficient China-raised students studying in Western institutions are known to perform adequately in first year subjects in which a surface learning approach is normally sufficient, but less so in the second and final years that typically require a deeper and more strategic approach (Crawford & Wang, 2015). A possible reason is that students may find it difficult to transition from a memorization/repetition strategy to adopting different learning strategies to suit higher year levels. However, I found retaining the ingrained memorization strategy can remain positive for students as long as additional strategies are available to facilitate their adaptation efforts (e.g., learning Latin/Greek stem words to deal with biology vocabulary). Another deficiency I noticed in Chinese classes is the near-absence of group activities and oral presentations, an issue also observed by other Western authors (e.g., Holmes, 2004; Jin & Cortazzi, 2006; Rublik 2018) and is being addressed recently at some universities (Li et al., 2014). Underdeveloped listening and speaking dexterities could create difficulties in verbal participation (asking questions, delivering presentations, partaking in tutorials) in Western classes. This shortcoming should not be confused with nonparticipation in class discussions arising from language incompetency.

COMMUNICATION CULTURE

The ability to communicate one’s work is a necessary skill in science, but one that has proven to be especially challenging for mainland Chinese students. “Scientific English” is a form of academic English language that deals not only with grammar and vocabulary used in science, but also with features such as writing style, accuracy, and objective interpretation of facts and findings (Chan, 2009). Fluency in proper English does not necessarily indicate command of scientific English. Many graduate students in my Chinese classes possess a good knowledge of the English vocabulary but are often unable to comprehend the inherent meaning of English biology texts. The same English-competent students also commit recurring errors in their written work despite repeated correction. Typical examples include:

- a lack of clarity caused by unclear and indirect writing;
- a lack of consistency caused by loose text structure and planning;
- a tendency to be speculative and partial in analytical judgment;
- unnecessary repetition of same information;
- insufficient description of the significance of study and study aim; and
- inaccurate and indifferent application of statistical methods in ecological research.

Although some authors suggest that scientific writing can be learned through practice (see references in Deng et al., 2019), Chinese students are so accustomed to a reader-responsible style of writing—one that leaves the reader guessing—that it may become difficult to reverse (Holmes 2004; Kaplan 1966). From extensive experience in assignment marking and scientific paper reviews, I have concluded
that problems in reading and written communication are due primarily to differences in learning context expectations between cultures (see also Xing et al., 2008). In reading, for example, China-raised students are conditioned to memorizing texts for deep understanding, a strategy that does not work well for subjects with a heavy reading load (see also Holmes, 2004), let alone one filled with Western abstract scientific concepts. Because conclusions are drawn from observations, elements of the scientific method of investigation such as hypothesis and complete openmess to empirical testing are abstract concepts that need to be well understood. Other science terms such as compare-and-contrast and cause-and-effect express the type of logical progressions unfamilair to Chinese thinking. The overall Chinese communication style is further shaped by the deep-rooted culture of balancing harmony, avoiding losing face, and respecting hierarchical and social relationships (Rublik, 2018; Valiente, 2008; Wang et al., 2015). For example, the desire to maintain social harmony results in a tendency to express personal views in an indirect or diffident way to avoid conflicts, while strong respect for the teacher’s knowledge may lead to own restrained analytical judgment. Written communication is likewise guided in ways that may conflict with the requisites for scientific English.

In scientific writing, the responsibility lies with the writer, who is entrusted with conveying the message succinctly to the reader through careful organization and planning, conciseness, and with clear and effective details and arguments (Chan, 2009; Deng et al., 2019). Most students in my Chinese classes struggled with time management, study organization strategies, attention to detail, and homework tasks which were apparently uncommon. The problem can be traced to a teacher-reliant approach to learning instead of using student initiative to organize own learning in the best possible way. I encountered great difficulties in promoting self-directed learning while in China, as independent thinking is somewhat contrary to a teacher-centered and exam-focused approach in Chinese education. As a rule, a basic science education process exists in Western universities to guide students through increasing levels of scientific insight and abandoning classroom examinations to eventually become independent researchers. Adoption of self-directed independent learning from the beginning allows accelerated adaptation to communication and performance in basic science.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Australian higher education is currently gripped by a coronavirus pandemic that threatens forever the way courses are structured and delivered. Students from China face tight restrictions to enter the country, and universities are switching to online teaching. More so than most other disciplines, pure science training is severely affected by the absence of face-to-face learning. A better understanding of students’ educational and cultural background relevant to basic science learning will greatly benefit future development of new course structures and a teaching style that incorporates rationalization and tracking of student-centered learning. This is expected to generate a better appreciation of Western basic
science philosophy by China-raised learners who in turn will gain a more rewarding learning experience. Issues on difficulties of Chinese students assimilating to a Western style of learning have been covered widely in the literature (e.g., Ching et al., 2017; God & Zhang, 2019; Henze & Zhu, 2012; Holmes, 2004; Leong, 2015; Wang et al., 2015), but very few studies were specific to the context of basic science teaching and learning. Future research is required to ensure/help students:

- hold full responsibility for self-directed learning (i.e., abandon the teacher-reliant learning approach), including thinking scientifically;
- become versed with the principles of scientific English as distinguished from conversational and even from non-science-specific academic English;
- understand scientific concepts, both concrete and abstract, before integrating the content;
- distinguish the learning demands and skills required for applied and basic sciences and their corresponding surface and deep learning expectations; and
- embrace additional learning strategies to memorization strategy as a means to accelerate deep understanding in advanced-level science courses.
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