

Volume 14 (2025), pp. 59-74  
*American Journal of STEM Education:  
Issues and Perspectives*  
© Star Scholars Press  
<https://doi.org/10.32674/5hm64807>

## **Art Meets AI: Exploring the Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Artificial Intelligence in the Art Classroom**

Jeremy Blair  
*Tennessee Tech University, USA*

---

### **ABSTRACT**

*As artificial intelligence (AI) reshapes creative fields, its influence on art education presents both exciting possibilities and pressing challenges. This article explores how AI-powered tools can enhance creativity, pedagogy, and digital literacy, while raising concerns about authorship, equity, and the role of traditional techniques. From early algorithmic works like Harold Cohen's AARON to contemporary AI artists like Refik Anadol, the article traces AI's evolving role in art and STEAM education. While AI enables new forms of collaboration and interactive learning, its classroom integration introduces ethical, pedagogical, and creative dilemmas. The article advocates for a balanced approach, one that integrates AI to support, not replace, traditional practices, ensuring technology enhances rather than diminishes the creative agency of students and educators.*

**Keywords:** Art Education, Authorship, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Literacy, Generative Art

**Submitted:** March 4, 2025 | **Revised:** May 29, 2025 | **Accepted:** June 26, 2025

---

## INTRODUCTION

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming industries and everyday life, and art education is no exception. AI technologies are increasingly present in art classrooms, often without students or teachers realising it, reshaping how art is taught, created, and experienced. From AI-powered tools that assist in generating digital artworks to intelligent systems providing personalised feedback, AI is rapidly expanding its role in art education. These technologies open new pathways for creativity, allowing students to explore and experiment in ways previously unimaginable. As AI evolves, art and STEAM educators must understand and harness its potential to enhance teaching and learning processes.

Today, AI creates music that sounds more Bach than Bach and produces paintings in the identical style of Van Gogh's *Starry Night*. However, the question arises: Are computers truly creative, or are they merely tools for artists, musicians, and writers to wield (Miller, 2019)? This consideration is crucial for art educators as they integrate AI into their classrooms—not just as a technological advancement but as a pedagogical necessity.

AI can amplify students' creative capabilities, offering sophisticated tools that inspire and challenge their artistic expressions. By weaving AI into art lesson plans, educators can create more interactive and engaging learning environments. AI tools help students analyze and critique artworks, experiment with new techniques, and collaborate on projects in innovative ways. Moreover, incorporating AI into art education bridges the gap between traditional practices and contemporary digital art, preparing students for the future tech and information-centric art world.

## AI AND ART: ROOTS, DEVELOPMENT, AND CONTEMPORARY PRACTICES

The roots of AI in art trace back to the late 20th century, with early experiments demonstrating computer algorithms' potential to generate visual works. A significant milestone was Harold Cohen's development of AARON in the 1970s. AARON, an autonomous art-generating software, followed rules designed to mimic human creativity, producing intricate line drawings (McCorduck, 1991). Cohen's work raised questions about authorship, creativity, and originality, laying a foundation for future AI developments and exploring collaborative possibilities between human artists and machines (Sundararajan, 2014).

The 1980s and 1990s saw advances in AI-driven art. Cohen refined AARON, evolving it from simple line drawings to complex, colorful compositions, simulating brushstrokes and raising deeper questions about creativity. Artists like William Latham and Karl Sims explored genetic algorithms, with Latham's abstract forms and Sims' "Evolved Virtual Creatures" demonstrating how AI could mimic biological processes to influence generative

art (Lambert et al., 2013; Sims, 1994). In music, David Cope's Experiments in Musical Intelligence (EMI) developed in the late 1980s generated new works in the styles of composers like Bach, challenging traditional views on creativity (Putnam, 1997). Concurrently, fractal art inspired by Benoit Mandelbrot's fractal geometry highlighted algorithms' ability to generate intricate, self-similar patterns, solidifying algorithmic art as a legitimate practice (Hargittai, 2024).

By the late 1990s, interactive AI art emerged, where machines shaped art through viewer interaction. Neural networks and interactive technologies foreshadowed immersive installations that would dominate the 21st century. Algorithmic poetry also flourished, with figures like John Cayley using algorithms to generate text-based works (Cayley, 2018). The 1990s also saw cybernetics and autopoiesis influencing interactive installations, with artists like Christa Sommerer and Laurent Mignonneau exploring self-creating systems (Kannonier et al., 2022). The early 2000s brought more accessible digital tools like Adobe Photoshop with basic AI features, while evolutionary algorithms advanced, with Karl Sims creating abstract visuals. Cohen's AARON continued evolving, producing sophisticated full-color paintings that deepened debates around authorship and machine autonomy (Hunt, 2001). Machine learning, particularly with Geoffrey Hinton's introduction of deep learning in 2006, began laying the groundwork for more complex AI applications in art (LeCun et al., 2015).

Open-source platforms in the 2000s democratized algorithmic art, expanding access for more artists. Simon Colton's "The Painting Fool," designed to create original works and be recognized as an artist, further raised questions about machine creativity and artistic intent (Colton et al., 2012). In 2014, Heather Dewey-Hagborg's *Face Value* used facial recognition algorithms to generate 3D-printed models from DNA, addressing privacy, surveillance, and identity (Tremblay, 2014). Around the same time, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), introduced by Ian Goodfellow, revolutionized AI art, creating highly realistic visuals that influenced the art world significantly (Mao & Li, 2021). Google's DeepDream popularized AI art in 2015 with its surreal, dreamlike imagery, sparking mainstream interest in neural networks (Doherty, 2016). In 2016, Leon Gatys introduced neural style transfer, applying artistic styles to new images and deepening originality debates (Gatys et al., 2016).

As AI evolved, projects like Damien Henry's *A Machine that Dreams a Landscape* (2018) and Refik Anadol's *Creating a Dreaming Archive* (2022) showcased AI's capacity to create immersive environments, blending digital and real-world experiences (Miller, 2019; Anadol, 2024). Mario Klingemann's *Changes Faces with Pix2Pix* (2017) used GANs to explore identity and the ethical implications of AI-generated likenesses (Miller, 2019). AI-driven music projects like Google's Magenta and François Pachet's jazz compositions expanded AI's creative impact across disciplines (Yoo, 2022; Tschmuck, 2024).

The integration of AI into contemporary art has transformed creativity, authorship, and artistic expression. Salimbeni (2024) provides a framework for

understanding these developments, identifying five key tropes: AI and co-creativity, selecting training data as a creative act, reflective investigation of AI, AI as the focal subject, and AI as an autonomous artist. These classifications help analyze the rapidly evolving AI art landscape, examining artists' motivations and AI's role in their practices.

Refik Anadol exemplifies Salimbeni's tropes through immersive installations like *Machine Hallucination*, using AI to process massive datasets and transform them into dynamic, evolving visuals that engage audiences on multiple sensory levels (Salimbeni, 2024). Mario Klingemann's *Memories of Passersby I*, generating ephemeral portraits, highlights AI's potential to create individualized art while raising questions about authorship and permanence (Qiaozheng & Lee, 2023). Sofia Crespo's *Artificial Natural History* blends natural and synthetic elements, challenging concepts of reality and prompting ecological discussions (Crespo, 2020; Salimbeni, 2024). Sougwen Chung's *Drawing Operations* exemplifies human-machine collaboration, where a robot learns her drawing style to create synergistic artworks (Chung, n.d.).

Examining these developments provides insights into AI's transformative potential for primary and secondary education. As AI reshapes the art world, it offers opportunities for fostering creativity, critical thinking, and collaboration in young artists. The following section will explore how AI tools and methodologies are integrated into art education, driving pedagogical innovation and preparing students for the evolving creative industries.

## INTEGRATION OF AI IN ART EDUCATION

Traditional hands-on experiences like drawing, painting, and sculpture have long been central to art education. However, these practices are increasingly taking digital forms through technologies like virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI), enabling students to engage with traditional methods in innovative ways (Blair, 2024). AI's growing accessibility allows its integration into primary and secondary education, blending traditional techniques with emerging technologies.

Generative AI platforms such as Midjourney, DALL-E, and Stable Diffusion empower students to create images from text prompts, producing artworks ranging from sketches to detailed compositions (Sweeny, 2023). While these tools enhance accessibility and speed, they also raise ethical concerns about copyright, originality, and self-expression, as they rely on large datasets of existing works. Art educators must address these implications, fostering student awareness of the ethical dimensions of AI (Sweeny, 2023). This shift reflects the "postdigital" art era, where digital tools like AI are integral to creating and consuming visual culture (Tavin et al., 2021).

AI also helps students overcome creative blocks by encouraging experimentation (Hutson & Lang, 2023). Platforms like Beatoven enable students

to compose music that complements their artwork, creating immersive, multi-sensory experiences. Similarly, tools like DALL-E 3 and Stable Diffusion push visual storytelling boundaries, generating custom images that align with students' creative visions (Schlichtmann, 2023). Programs like Adobe Firefly and Photoshop's generative features merge traditional art practices with digital tools, balancing expression and practical application.

As AI reshapes art education, thoughtful integration is key to fostering creativity, critical thinking, and artistic growth. For preservice educators, incorporating AI reflects a broader embrace of technology in education, preparing students for future creative industries (Zhou, 2024). This evolution highlights the expanding intersection between technology and creativity in teaching and art-making.

## **OPPORTUNITIES AND BENEFITS OF AI FOR ART TEACHERS**

Integrating AI into the art classroom offers numerous advantages, enriching both teaching and learning experiences. From generative art programs to versatile tools like ChatGPT, AI introduces a wide array of possibilities for creativity, personalisation, and efficiency. This section will explore the many ways that AI can currently support and potentially transform primary and secondary art education, detailing specific tools, resources, and methods. As educators increasingly embrace AI, they can use it to create more engaging, dynamic learning spaces that resonate with students' digital experiences and interests.

By incorporating AI, art teachers not only enhance their curriculum but also foster an environment where students explore innovative artistic expression, collaborate effectively, and develop skills that prepare them for a future where technology and creativity intersect seamlessly. This section will present a comprehensive list of opportunities AI brings to schools, focusing on how these tools can support students' creative exploration, streamline classroom management, and assist in both instructional planning and assessment practices.

### **Lesson Planning**

AI is poised to become an essential tool in lesson planning, helping educators design engaging and innovative art lessons with greater efficiency (van den Berg & du Plessis, 2023). By inputting specific learning objectives, themes, or student needs, teachers can use AI-driven platforms to generate comprehensive lesson plans that include step-by-step activity instructions, assessment criteria, differentiated learning strategies, and curated resource lists. This not only streamlines the planning process but also ensures that lessons remain current, adaptable, and aligned with institutional standards and emerging trends in contemporary art education.

Additionally, AI can analyze student performance data to suggest modifications, offering personalized recommendations that support differentiated instruction and accommodate diverse learning styles. Teachers can also leverage AI-generated visuals, interactive media, and customized digital content to enhance lesson presentations, making complex artistic concepts more accessible and engaging for students. While AI enhances efficiency and adaptability, educators must remain actively involved in curating and refining AI-generated content to maintain pedagogical integrity and ensure lessons foster critical thinking, creativity, and hands-on artistic exploration.

## **Research**

AI-driven databases and search engines provide educators with rapid access to relevant academic resources, art historical developments, and contemporary artistic movements. These systems, which use machine learning to analyze vast amounts of data, assist teachers in identifying emerging artists, tracking evolving artistic trends, and exploring interdisciplinary connections within STEAM education. For example, an AI system can synthesize data from exhibitions, scholarly articles, and cultural shifts, offering insights into how societal changes influence current art trends. This allows educators to frame discussions that connect art with broader social, political, and technological contexts. Additionally, AI can support comparative analysis, helping students critically examine historical and contemporary artworks, fostering digital literacy, and deepening their understanding of art's role in society.

## **Digital Literacy**

Integrating AI into art classrooms supports students' development of essential digital literacy skills, which are increasingly indispensable in contemporary art and design fields (Bender, 2024). Engaging with AI technologies such as generative art programs, AI-driven design software, and digital creation tools enables students to enhance their technical proficiency while also nurturing adaptability, critical thinking, and ethical awareness in a digital context. AI tools in the classroom offer numerous benefits, such as fostering creativity by encouraging students to experiment with new forms of expression, personalising learning to match individual skills and interests, and streamlining workflows like brainstorming, reference gathering, and multimedia development. Through these interactions, students broaden their artistic perspectives and gain familiarity with the technology-driven landscape of modern creative industries, preparing them for future careers that will likely involve digital and AI tools.

## **Generative Art**

Generative AI tools such as DALL-E 3, Midjourney, and Stable Diffusion offer art teachers an unparalleled opportunity to integrate cutting-edge technology into their curriculum, enhancing digital literacy and expanding students' creative possibilities (Cotroneo & Hutson, 2023). These tools allow students to rapidly generate a series of images from text prompts, facilitating hands-on exploration of composition, color theory, and stylistic variation in real time.

Beyond fostering creativity, AI-driven generative tools provide a powerful means of iterative experimentation, a cornerstone of artistic growth. The immediate feedback from AI-generated outputs allows students to test multiple variations of an idea without the limitations of traditional materials, cultivating resilience and adaptability in their creative practice. Additionally, generative AI serves as a valuable resource for studying historical and contemporary art styles. Platforms like Photosonic enable students to experiment with different artistic movements, from impressionism to surrealism to hyperrealism, broadening their visual vocabulary and encouraging personal expression.

For educators, generative AI acts as a versatile teaching aid, enabling them to illustrate complex artistic concepts, generate customized visual examples on demand, and support students in conceptualizing ambitious projects. Features such as Adobe Firefly's generative fill allow students to manipulate images dynamically, expanding canvases, enhancing realism, or creating surreal compositions that would be difficult to achieve using traditional techniques. By integrating AI into the classroom, art teachers prepare students for careers in digital media, advertising, and design—fields where AI-driven creativity is becoming increasingly relevant.

Understanding AI's role in contemporary art and design not only enhances students' technical proficiency but also fosters critical discussions about authorship, originality, and the ethical dimensions of AI-generated content. Through guided exploration, students can learn to view AI as an extension of their creative toolkit rather than a replacement for human artistry. When used thoughtfully, generative AI enhances artistic expression, providing new avenues for experimentation and innovation while reinforcing the foundational principles of art and design.

## **Time Efficiency**

AI programs can significantly reduce the time required for both ideation and execution in artistic creation, making them valuable tools for educators managing busy classrooms. For teachers, AI can be a powerful time-saving asset. Instead of spending hours creating visual references, demonstration materials, or personalized feedback, educators can use AI to generate tailored lesson plans, adapt existing resources, or provide instant visual examples. Tools like ImageFX

enable students to efficiently edit and refine images, accelerating the revision process and freeing up time for deeper engagement with artistic concepts, critiques, and discussions. This efficiency fosters a more dynamic classroom environment where students can engage in meaningful experimentation without the frustration of slow progress.

Furthermore, AI's ability to automate repetitive tasks—such as background removal, color corrections, or stylistic transformations—allows both students and teachers to dedicate more time to higher-order creative thinking. By leveraging AI's speed and adaptability, educators can cultivate a learning environment that prioritizes conceptual depth, artistic growth, and critical engagement with technology, ensuring that students develop both technical proficiency and a nuanced understanding of contemporary digital art practices.

In summary, AI presents a powerful suite of tools that can transform the art classroom by fostering creativity, streamlining workflows, and personalizing learning experiences. Generative AI enables rapid ideation, allowing students to explore artistic concepts in real time while providing teachers with efficient ways to create lesson materials and visual demonstrations. By automating time-consuming tasks like generating creative prompts, refining compositions, and enhancing images, AI frees up valuable time for deeper discussions and critical engagement with artistic principles. These tools not only expand creative potential but also prepare students for technology-driven careers in digital media, design, and beyond.

However, while AI offers numerous advantages, its integration into art education also introduces challenges that educators must thoughtfully navigate. Ethical concerns surrounding authorship, originality, and bias require careful consideration, as does the potential over-reliance on automation at the expense of foundational artistic skills. The next section will explore these complexities, addressing the practical and ethical dilemmas associated with AI adoption in educational settings and emphasizing the importance of a balanced, responsible approach to its use in the classroom.

## **CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS IN INTEGRATING AI**

While AI offers numerous benefits for enhancing creativity and learning in the art classroom, integrating these technologies also presents several challenges, limitations, and considerations that art teachers and students must navigate. According to Park (2023), the postdigital era has dramatically transformed how we communicate, learn, and create, with AI reshaping these processes in profound ways. Park argues that art educators need to reconceptualize their relationships with AI, viewing them as collaborative and symbiotic rather than merely instrumental. This shift, informed by posthuman perspectives, urges educators to explore how AI can foster new forms of inquiry and creativity while also critically examining the ethical implications of its use in art classrooms.

These insights highlight the need for a nuanced understanding of AI's role in education. Challenges include grappling with the complexities of human-AI collaboration and ensuring that AI integration does not inadvertently reinforce traditional hierarchies or biases. Art teachers must address concerns about the ethical use of AI, such as ensuring that AI tools are used responsibly and that students are educated about the implications of AI in their creative practices. Practical issues such as high costs, technical proficiency, and the potential for AI to overshadow traditional artistic skills must be carefully managed. By acknowledging these challenges and adopting a thoughtful, reflective approach to AI integration, art educators can better navigate the evolving landscape of art education and leverage AI's full potential to enrich the learning experience. The challenges and considerations of integrating AI in the classroom are as follows.

## **Copyright**

AI-generated content raises complex issues regarding intellectual property and copyright. When students create artwork with AI assistance, determining ownership of the final product can be unclear. In 2023, the US Copyright Office denied copyright protection for AI-created comic artwork but upheld the copyright of the accompanying text and layout created by a person (Hutson & Lang, 2023). This ruling suggests that significant human involvement is necessary for such works to be copyrighted and commercially viable.

## **Cost and Accessibility**

Many advanced AI programmes come with subscription fees or one-off costs that can be prohibitive for schools with limited budgets. For example, premium tools like Midjourney charge around \$30 per month, while Adobe Firefly subscriptions start at \$19.99 per month in the US. These costs may limit some schools' ability to integrate AI tools into their art programmes. However, many free and alternative tools, such as DALL-E Mini and open-source AI generators, offer essential functionalities at no cost, allowing educators to incorporate AI into their teaching without straining their budgets.

In addition to the high costs, many schools in the US have regulations that either limit the use of AI tools or ban them altogether. These restrictions often arise from concerns about data privacy, security, cheating, and the overall impact of AI on traditional educational practices. Such bans can hinder the integration of AI into art education, preventing students and teachers from accessing potentially valuable resources and innovations. Schools must navigate these regulatory barriers while effectively incorporating new technologies into their curricula.

## **The Uncanny Valley**

When AI-generated images resemble human-created works but exhibit slight imperfections or unnatural features, they can fall into the "uncanny valley." This phenomenon creates an eerie or unsettling feeling in viewers, which can detract from the appreciation of the artwork (Schmuckli, 2020). For example, an AI-generated portrait may display unnatural skin tones or awkward facial expressions, making it difficult for viewers to emotionally connect with the piece. Art teachers can engage students in discussions about the uncanny valley effect, encouraging critical evaluation of AI outputs.

## **Data Privacy**

Using AI tools often requires sharing personal and creative data with external platforms, raising concerns about privacy and security. When students create accounts or upload artwork, they may unknowingly expose personal information that could be misused. AI tools may also collect data on students' creative processes and preferences, potentially using it for marketing or research without proper consent. Educators should teach students about the importance of digital privacy, enabling them to make informed choices about their online interactions.

## **Inappropriate Content**

AI-generated content can sometimes include inappropriate or unintended images, presenting a challenge for teachers to monitor and control. This is particularly concerning in art classrooms, where students are encouraged to push creative boundaries. AI algorithms, trained on vast datasets from the internet, can inadvertently generate offensive or culturally insensitive content. This unpredictability requires vigilant oversight from educators, who must set clear guidelines for acceptable use and consider pre-screening tools, filters, or prompt engineering to minimise the risk of inappropriate outputs.

## **Generation vs. Making**

A challenge in using generative AI in art education is distinguishing between prompt-based image generation and traditional artmaking. When students rely on AI to create images from text prompts, they often skip essential elements of art-making such as experimentation, problem-solving, and developing fine motor skills. Traditional artmaking is an iterative process that engages students with materials, techniques, and concepts, fostering creativity, critical thinking, and technical skill, elements AI lacks. While AI can produce visually striking images

quickly, this convenience may lead to passive engagement with the creative process.

## **Digital Divide and Equity**

The integration of AI in education risks widening the digital divide between well-funded schools and those with limited resources. Schools with access to advanced technology can implement AI tools effectively, while underfunded schools may struggle to keep up, exacerbating existing educational inequalities. To ensure AI's potential benefits are shared by all students, a concerted effort is needed to provide equitable access to these technologies across all types of schools. This can be achieved through targeted funding, training, and infrastructure support, ensuring students in underserved communities are not left behind.

Many AI tools are built on extensive datasets that reflect societal biases, which can inadvertently manifest in the content generated by these systems. This trend raises concerns for equitable and inclusive education, as AI-generated images often feature predominantly white individuals, marginalising diverse voices and perspectives (Park, 2024; Cave & Dihal, 2020). Teachers must guide students in critically analysing AI-generated artwork to identify and challenge any biased representations or stereotypes that may arise. This proactive approach fosters a more equitable art education environment, encouraging students to engage thoughtfully with the implications of AI in artistic practices.

## **CONCLUSION**

As AI continues to advance, its integration into primary and secondary art education opens new opportunities for both artists and art teachers. The evolving landscape of AI presents innovative tools that are reshaping how art is taught and experienced. From generating creative prompts and facilitating digital art creation to enhancing multimedia projects and providing feedback, AI is becoming integral to art education. Tools such as DALL-E 3, Midjourney, and ChatGPT enable students to push their creative boundaries, explore new artistic techniques, and engage with their work in ways previously unimaginable.

For art teachers, integrating AI represents an opportunity to enhance their teaching practices, offering new methods for lesson planning, idea generation, and student engagement. AI can streamline administrative tasks, support individualised learning, and provide valuable insights into students' artistic development. These advancements have the potential to enrich the educational experience and foster a dynamic and innovative art curriculum.

However, incorporating AI into the art classroom comes with challenges and limitations. Issues like cost, access, and the need for teacher proficiency with AI tools can pose significant hurdles. Concerns about content appropriateness and

negative media portrayals of AI highlight the importance of addressing these barriers proactively. Ensuring equitable access to AI tools and promoting responsible use are critical for maximising the benefits of AI while minimising its drawbacks.

As art educators and students navigate the intersection of art and technology, it is essential to approach AI integration with a balanced perspective. By embracing AI as a complementary tool rather than a replacement for traditional practices, educators can create a well-rounded learning environment that fosters creativity and the development of technical skills. Staying informed about the latest AI advancements, experimenting with various tools, and thoughtfully addressing challenges will help art teachers and students harness AI's full potential. In summary, integrating AI in art education presents exciting opportunities and notable challenges. By leveraging AI's capabilities while remaining mindful of its limitations, art educators can enhance their teaching practices, enrich the learning experience, and prepare students for a future where art and technology converge in innovative and transformative ways.

## REFERENCES

- Ahn, I.-K., & Lee, M.-Y. (2024). Action research on developing elementary art instruction programme using generative AI. *Journal of Research in Art Education*, 25(1), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.20977/kkosea.2024.25.1.1>
- Anadol, R. (2022). Space in the mind of a machine: Immersive narratives. *Architectural Design*, 92(3), 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.2810>
- Bender, S. M. (2024). Awareness of artificial intelligence as an essential digital literacy: ChatGPT and Gen-AI in the classroom. *Changing English*, 31(2), 161–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2024.2309995>
- Blair, J. (2024). Virtual reality art education. In N. Walkup & T. Hunter-Doniger (Eds.), *STEAM education: Transdisciplinarity of art in the curriculum*. National Art Education Association Publishing.
- Cave, S., & Dihal, K. (2020). The whiteness of AI. *Philosophy & Technology*, 33(4), 685–703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-020-00415-6>
- Cayley, J. (2018). *Grammalepsy: Essays on digital language art*. Bloomsbury Academic. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781501335792>
- Chalmers, D. J., French, R. M., & Hofstadter, D. R. (1992). High-level perception, representation, and analogy: A critique of artificial intelligence methodology. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 4(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09528139208953747>
- Chung, S. (n.d.). *Drawing operations*. <https://sougwen.com/project/drawing-operations>
- Colton, S., McCormack, J., & d'Inverno, M. (2012). The Painting Fool: Stories from building an automated painter. In *Computers and Creativity* (pp. 3–38). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31727-9\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31727-9_1)

- Cotroneo, P., & Hutson, J. (2023). Generative AI tools in art education: Exploring prompt engineering and iterative processes for enhanced creativity. *Metaverse*, 4(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.54517/m.v4i1.2164>
- Crespo, S. (2020, September 17). AI-powered natural history: Sofia Crespo's artificial lifeforms explore the intersection of nature and machine learning. *Colossal*. <https://www.thisiscolossal.com/2020/09/sofia-crespo-ai-natural-history/>
- Doherty, H. (2016). Virtual art: Google's DeepDream. *University Wire*. Uloop, Inc.
- Gatys, L. A., Bethge, M., Hertzmann, A., & Shechtman, E. (2016). Preserving color in neural artistic style transfer. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1606.05897>
- Hargittai, I. (2024). Remembering Benoit Mandelbrot on his centennial – His fractal geometry changed our view of nature. *Structural Chemistry*, 35(5), 1657–1661. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11224-024-02290-9>
- Hunt, K. (2001). PIXELS: STATEWIDE Edition. *The Hartford Courant*.
- Hutson, J., & Lang, M. (2023). Content creation or interpolation: AI generative digital art in the classroom. *Metaverse*, 4(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.54517/m.v4i1.2158>
- Kang, Q., & Lee, J. W. (2023). Artificial intelligence: The perspective of omnivore. *Journal of Digital Art Engineering and Multimedia*, 10(3), 279–292. <https://doi.org/10.29056/jdaem.2023.09.01>
- Kannonier, R., Kluszczyński, R. W., Mersmann, B., Moriyama, T., Ohlenschlager, K., Reichle, I., & Zielinski, S. (2022). *Christa Sommerer & Laurent Mignonneau: The artwork as a living system 1992–2022*. ZKM Center for Art and Media Karlsruhe.
- Kaufman, S. L. (2020). Artist Sougwen Chung wanted collaborators. So she designed and built her own AI robots. *The Washington Post*.
- Lambert, N., Latham, W., & Leymarie, F. F. (2013). The emergence and growth of evolutionary art—1980–1993. *Leonardo*, 46(4), 367–375. [https://doi.org/10.1162/LEON\\_a\\_00608](https://doi.org/10.1162/LEON_a_00608)
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436–444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539>
- Mao, X., & Li, Q. (2021). *Generative adversarial networks for image generation* (1st ed. 2021). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6048-8>
- Martin, N., & Willemars, I. (2024). *The replaceability paradigm: Replacement and irreplaceability from Dante to DeepDream*. DeGruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111286402>
- McCorduck, P. (1991). *Aaron's code: Meta-art, artificial intelligence, and the work of Harold Cohen*. W.H. Freeman.
- Miller, A. I. (2019). *The artist in the machine: The world of AI-powered creativity*. The MIT Press.

- Montero, J. B. (2024). Revolutionising creativity: Unleashing the power of AI in upper elementary art education. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*, 10(4), 510–515. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijlt.10.4.510-515>
- Park, Y. S. (2023). Creative and critical entanglements “with” AI in art education. *Studies in Art Education*, 64(4), 406–425. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00393541.2023.2255084>
- Putnam, K. W. (1997). David Cope: Experiments in musical intelligence. *Computer Music Journal*, 21(3), 102.
- Raines, A. D. (2024). Balancing art and science: Guiding the responsible use of AI systems in the context of art [Doctoral dissertation, ProQuest Dissertations & Theses].
- Refik Anadol. (2024, March 9). Archive Dreaming - AI Data Sculpture. <https://refikanadol.com/works/archive-dreaming/>
- Rogers, H. S. (2020). Cheering artificial intelligence leader: Creative writing and materialising design fiction. *Leonardo*, 53(1), 58–62. [https://doi.org/10.1162/leon\\_a\\_01578](https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_01578)
- Salimbeni, G., Benford, S., Reeves, S., & Martindale, S. (2024). Decoding AI in contemporary art: A five-trope classification for understanding and categorisation. *Leonardo*, 57(4), 415–421. [https://doi.org/10.1162/leon\\_a\\_02546](https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_02546)
- Schlichtmann, S. (2023, September 8). Generative AI art programme Midjourney sparks new artistic potential. *The New Hampshire*.
- Schmuckli, C. (2020). *Beyond the uncanny valley: Being human in the age of AI*. Cameron.
- Shoemaker, E. (2024). Is AI art theft? The moral foundations of copyright law in the context of AI image generation. *Philosophy & Technology*, 37(3), 114–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-024-00797-x>
- Sims, K. (1994). Evolving virtual creatures. *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques (SIGGRAPH '94)*, 15–22. <https://www.karlsims.com/papers/siggraph94.pdf>
- Sundararajan, L. (2014). Mind, machine, and creativity: An artist’s perspective. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 48(2), 136–151. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jobc.44>
- Sweeny, R. (2023). Editorial: Digital and postdigital media in art education. *Studies in Art Education: A Journal of Issues and Research*, 64(4), 401–405.
- Tatar, K., Ericson, P., Cotton, K., Del Prado, P. T. N., Batlle-Roca, R., Cabrero-Daniel, B., Ljungblad, S., Diapoulis, G., & Hussain, J. (2024). A shift in artistic practices through artificial intelligence. *Leonardo*, 57(3), 293–297.
- Tavin, K., Kolb, G., & Tervo, J. (Eds.). (2021). *Post-digital, post-internet art and education: The future is all-over*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tremblay, É. (2014). Stranger Visions by Heather Dewey-Hagborg: Reinterpreting portraiture through new forensic and 3D printing techniques. *ETC Media*, 103, 44–48.

- Tschmuck, P. (2024, April 1). AI in the music industry – part 10: François Pachet: The Continuator, Flow Machines and “Daddy’s Car.” *Music Business Research*. <https://musicbusinessresearch.wordpress.com/2024/04/08/ai-in-the-music-industry-part-10-francois-pachet-the-continuator-flow-machines-and-daddys-car/>
- van den Berg, G., & du Plessis, E. (2023). ChatGPT and generative AI: Possibilities for its contribution to lesson planning, critical thinking and openness in teacher education. *Education Sciences*, 13(10), 998–1009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13100998>
- Yoo, H.-J. (2022). A case study on artificial intelligence’s music creation: Focusing on Google’s AI Magenta Project. *The Journal of Next-Generation Convergence Technology Association*, 6(9), 1737–1745. <https://doi.org/10.33097/JNCTA.2022.06.09.1737>
- Yuk, J.-M., Kim, Y.-G., & Chun, J.-Y. (2023). Study on performing arts in virtual environments using artificial intelligence and augmented reality. *Journal of Digital Contents Society*, 24(12), 2981–2991. <https://doi.org/10.9728/dcs.2023.24.12.2981>
- Zhou, Y. (2024). The impact of the artificial intelligence (AI) art generator in pre-service art teacher training. *2024 IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence (CAI)*, 1406–1407. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CAI59869.2024.00250>

---

**JEREMY BLAIR**, PhD, is an Associate Professor of Art Education in the School of Art, Craft & Design at Tennessee Tech University. His visual art practice, teaching, and scholarship investigate the intersections of art and science, with a particular focus on STEAM Education. Email: [jmblair@tntech.edu](mailto:jmblair@tntech.edu)

## Acknowledgment Section

The author would like to acknowledge the use of OpenAI's ChatGPT in [assisting with the drafting and editing of this manuscript / generating text/refining language / ensuring clarity and coherence throughout the article]. The AI tool provided [support/assistance / valuable insights] in [generating text, refining language, and ensuring clarity and coherence]. The contributions made by ChatGPT were [invaluable/significant / helpful] in enhancing the overall quality of this work.

**Volume Editors:**

---

*Dr. Sahar Aghasafari*

Assistant Professor of Art and Graphic Design University of South Carolina  
Lancaster, USA

*Dr. Jeremy Blair*

Associate Professor of Art Education  
Tennessee Tech University, School of Art, Craft & Design, USA